SINGLE BENCH

(3)

P.R. No. 215/06
Presented by Vivek Sharma
dated 13/11/06

(1) C.S. 100/-

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION No. 209 /2006

PETITIONER : ~~Shri~~ Lalan Singh, S/o. Mundarika Singh, Aged about-39 years, R/o. Street No. 34, Qtr. No. 6-C, Sector-5, Bhilai Nagar, District- Durg (C.G.).

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. Steel Authority of India, Limited, Bhilai Steel Plant, Sharad Kumar Rath, D.G.M. I/C, R.C.L. (Research and Control Laboratory), Bhilai, District- Durg (C.G.).
2. B.H.S. Prasad, Manager, C.C.L., (Chemical) R.C.L., Bhilai Steel Plant-Bhilai, District- Durg (C.G.).
3. Deepak Kumar Banerjee, Assistant General Manager, C.C.L. (Chemical), R.C.L. Bhilai Steel Plant, Bhilai, District- Durg (C.G.).
4. S.N. Tiwari, Deputy General Manager, C.C.L. (Chemical), R.C.L. Bhilai Steel Plant-Bhilai, District- Durg (C.G.).
5. Smt. Nisha Soni, Senior Manager (Personal) R.C.L., E.T.C., I & W, Bhilai Steel Plant-Bhilai, District- Durg (C.G.).

Due to non availability of Counsel for steel authority India Ltd. Copy of petition has not been applied for.

18/11/06

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/~~227~~ OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

(28)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 209/2006 सन् /200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

17-1-2006

Shri Vivek Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Shri P. Diwakar, Sr. Adv. with Shri P.R. Patankar, Adv. for the Bhilai Steel Plant, on advance copy.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was appointed as Operator in the Bhilai Steel Plant and is working since 31-10-1997 and on completion of 18 months training, he has been regularized with effect from 1-11-1997 Annexure P-1. He further submits that when he went to report on duty on 7-12-2005, he was not allowed to join the duty and was advised to go for medical examination. Counsel for the petitioner submits that no reason has been assigned to him. He further submits that he served notice for getting some information from the department on 12-12-2005 as per Annexure P-3 but the information has not been supplied to him for not allowing him in duty.

So far as supply of documents is concerned, the petitioner has made an application and since they relate to him, if the documents have not been supplied, they be supplied to the petitioner as early as possible preferably within 10 days from the date of production/receipt of copy of this order. The petitioner after getting the documents may make a representation or may serve a notice for demand of justice within 15 days and if such a representation/notice for demand of justice is made, the same shall be considered and decided on its own merits in accordance with law preferably within a period of one month thereafter. If the grievances of the petitioner still remains, he may avail the remedy available under the law.

With these observations and directions, the petition stands disposed of.

Consequently M.W.P. No. 185/2006 also stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

[पीछे देखिये